## Ward boundary review - Greater Dandenong City Council - Response Submission Pam Naylor - Noble Park - 19 March 2024, 06:18 pm

## **SUBMISSION**

I strongly favour Model 2. It is the best at reflecting communities of interest. It makes minimal changes to the current structure compared to Model 1.

The major problem with Model 1 is changing the current Dandenong Ward to include the Greater Dandenong Green Wedge. This creates a vast ward that stretches from the border of Kingston to the border of Casey, including the Dandenong South Industrial Zone and the Greater Dandenong Green Wedge - two vastly different land uses where the ratepayers have no community of interest and whose views are often in conflict, with those living in the Green Wedge wanting their land from being protected from being rezoned industrial.

Also problematic with Model 1 is breaking up the suburb of Springvale South on an odd east-west basis, joining the western part of Springvale South with Springvale Central Ward creating a ward that goes from the boundary of Dingley to the railway line. This is no way a community of interest.

The only good aspect of Model 1 is the Yarraman Ward/Dandenong Ward boundary. The majority of Dandenong West should be kept together. Only the part of Dandenong West close to the Dandenong Railway Station which is zoned for high density should be in Dandenong Ward as that neighbourhood has an semi-urban rather than suburban characteristic and thus fits in with the activity centre. That is why the Yarraman Ward/Dandenong Ward boundary in Model 1 should be replicated in Model 2. That is a relatively small change that would improve Model 2.

Other than that, Model 2 is by far the best.

Regards,

**Naylor Pam** 

Noble Park 3174