

Ward boundary review - Greater Dandenong City Council - Response Submission
Alan Hood - Bangholme - 18 March 2024, 12:09 pm

From: Alan Hood [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 18 March 2024 12:00 PM
To: Submissions - Greater Dandenong City ward boundary review 2024
Subject: Submission - Ward Boundary Review

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

This submission supports Model 2. This represents the least change from the current ward structure.

As well as having now achieved familiarity with voters, the current ward structure united the whole of the Greater Dandenong Green Wedge in one ward. That was a positive development that should be maintained in this review. The Greater Dandenong Green Wedge is a unique and environmentally valuable part of Greater Dandenong that is its own community of interest and has nothing in common with either Greater Dandenong Industrial Area or the central business district of Dandenong. Model 1 so abhorrent - the idea of putting the environmentally sensitive Green Wedge and a major Melbourne industrial area in the same ward is indefensible. As well as that it is simply too big - with one part bordering Waterways in Kingston to another part bordering Doveton in Casey.

However, the name "Keysborough South" should be removed.

This has never been an official name; nor is it supported by VICNAMES register.

The name "Keysborough South" was used by a developer during the Amendment C36 process, a highly controversial and divisive development in the Dandenong Green Wedge.

The suburb of Keysborough in the west extends south to Pillars Road, and in the east extends south to Bangholme Road.

Name suggestion: Bangholme Ward

Reason: A large part of the proposed ward is in the suburb of Bangholme.

Alan Hood

[REDACTED]
Bangholme 3175

[REDACTED]