

**Ward boundary review - Greater Dandenong City Council - Response Submission**  
**Khoa Dao - Keysborough - 19 March 2024, 11:22 am**

---

**From:** Khoa Dao [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 19 March 2024 11:22 AM  
**To:** Submissions - Greater Dandenong City ward boundary review 2024  
**Subject:** Model 2 vs 1

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Good Morning,

I strongly favour Model 2. It has changes that impact less voters. It makes minimal changes to the current structure compared to Model 1.

The major problem with Model 1 is changing the current Dandenong Ward to include the Greater Dandenong Green Wedge. This creates a vast ward that stretches from the border of Kingston to the border of Casey, including the Dandenong South Industrial Zone and the Greater Dandenong Green Wedge - two vastly different land uses where the ratepayers have no community of interest and whose views are often in conflict, with those living in the Green Wedge wanting their land from being protected from being rezoned industrial.

Also problematic with Model 1 is breaking up the suburb of Springvale South on an odd east-west basis, joining the western part of Springvale South with Springvale Central Ward creating a ward that goes from the boundary of Dingley to the railway line. This is no way a community of interest.

The current structure has both sides of Henderson Road where I live within one ward (Keysborough Ward). Model 2 ensures both sides of the road are still in one ward; albeit within Springvale South Ward. Model 1 meanwhile would split my street between Keysborough Ward and Springvale South Ward which is inconsistent and less preferable.

Warm Regards,  
Khoa Dao

Contact Email: [REDACTED]  
Contact Number: [REDACTED]  
Address: [REDACTED] Keysborough, Vic, 3173