Electoral structure review – Stonnington City Council – Response Submission Katherine Hely – Toorak – 07 August 2023, 01:32 pm

From: Kate Hely

Sent: Monday, 7 August 2023 1:32 PM

To: Stonnington ERAP Submissions 2023

Subject: Stonnington ward feedback

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Model 1 - not recommended

Divides suburbs where communities have natural similarities. Eg there is no reason to divide south Yarra into four wards. Does not allow for distribution of activity centres between wards. This model is unnecessarily complicated. Do not recomend.

Model 2 - recommended

The most logical of division. Builds upon the general understanding of current ward structures so feels familiar. Does not unnecessarily split suburbs. Keeps similar communities and housing needs within the same wards. Also ensures a reasonable spreed of activity centres among different wards (except for Toorak village - but as that is the smallest village this is an acceptable outcome).

Potential to allow darling ward to be a little smaller and go full length of chapel rather than cutting into river st.

Model 3 - better than model 1, but not recommended

People are drawn to and understand suburbs and this model divides them too much which is unnecessarily.

Sent from Outlook for iOS