

Ward boundary review - Greater Dandenong City Council - Response Submission
Tanisha Finlay - Cranbourne - 17 March 2024, 03:37 pm

From: Tanisha Finlay [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, 17 March 2024 3:37 PM
To: Submissions - Greater Dandenong City ward boundary review 2024
Subject: Model 2

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,

I write in support of Model 2. It is very similar to the current ward structure that is working well.

On the other hand, Model 1 splits the Springvale South community in a way that makes no sense. If you are going to split Springvale South it makes sense to reflect that part of Springvale South is orientated towards Keysborough and the Parkmore Shopping Centre and part of Springvale South that borders Heatherton Rd is orientated towards the Springvale Activity Centre as their shopping area. This is what Model 2 sensibly does.

Most importantly, Model 1 breaks up the current Keysborough South Ward in a way that puts the Green Wedge residents into a ward that includes both the main industrial zone land of Greater Dandenong and the Dandenong Activity Centre! That's putting completely different land uses and communities of interest in conflict. It also presents a major representational challenge for a Councillor elected to this ward. This change alone should make Model 1 untenable.

Yours sincerely,

Tanisha Finlay
[REDACTED]

Sent from [Outlook for iOS](#)